

INSECTS ASSOCIATED WITH WEEDS IN THE NORTHEASTERN
UNITED STATES. II. CINQUEFOILS, *POTENTILLA*
NORVEGICA AND *P. RECTA* (ROSACEAE)¹

S. W. T. Batra

Abstract.—Eighty species of insects, including 15 crop pests and 8 pollinators, are associated with *Potentilla norvegica* L. and *P. recta* L. in the northeastern United States. Among the pests are the strawberry root weevil, *Otiorhynchus ovatus* (L.), and the strawberry aphid, *Chaetosiphon fragaefolii* (Cockerell). A biological control program using insects against these weeds would be difficult due to the close genetic relationship between strawberries and cinquefoils, and probable consequent attractiveness of *Fragaria* to phytophagous insects that attack *Potentilla*.

Introduction

A survey of phytophagous insects and pollinators of the rough cinquefoil, *Potentilla norvegica* L. and the sulfur cinquefoil, *P. recta* L., was undertaken to determine the trophic niches occupied by North American insects. According to Werner and Soule (1976), there is no readily available information regarding insects affecting cinquefoils.

The genus *Potentilla* consists of about 300 primarily Holarctic species with a wide range of polyploidy (Kohli and Denford 1977), of which there are over 50 species in North America, but only about five of these are weedy.

Potentilla norvegica ($2N = 70$) is an annual, biennial or perennial of Holarctic origin with two subspecies: *norvegica*, being native to northern Eurasia; and *monspeliensis* (L.) Asch. and Gr., which originates in North America (Hultén 1974). *Potentilla recta* ($2N = 28, 42$; Darlington and Wylie 1956) is a perennial of Eurasian origin that has become established primarily in northeastern North America (Frankton and Mulligan 1970). There are three North American varieties: *sulphurea* (Lam. and DC.) Peyr., *obscura* (Nestler) Koch., and *pilosa* (W.) Led. (Werner and Soule 1976). Both species spread by dispersal of achenes.

In the northeastern and north central United States, cinquefoils are among the most important weeds in forage crops, lawns, pastures and hay (Danielson et al. 1965). Although cinquefoils may be controlled by cultivation or

¹ This is the second publication in a series on native insects associated with introduced weeds in the northeastern United States. Other genera investigated are: I, *Galinsoga* (Environ. Entomol., in press); III, *Stellaria* (J. New York Entomol. Soc., in press); *Hieracium*, *Galium*, *Galeopsis*, *Lychnis* (= *Melandrium*), *Cerastium*, *Sonchus*, and *Matricaria* (in preparation).

application of herbicides such as 2, 4-D or Silvex (the mention of a pesticide in this paper does not constitute a recommendation of this product by the USDA) (Werner and Soule 1976), control of these weeds in strawberries is difficult (Anonymous 1976). Cinquefoils are closely related to strawberries and some species have recently been hybridized with them by breeders for strawberry improvement (Scott and Lawrence 1976; Barrientos-Perez 1976). Other beneficial uses of cinquefoils are as ornamentals, including a variety of *P. recta*, "Warrensii"; for tannins (Werner and Soule 1976); as forages (Chevtaeva 1975); and as a source of anti-bacterial chemicals (Makarenko and Chaika 1974).

Materials and Methods

Phytophagous insects and pollinators of *P. norvegica* and *P. recta* were collected in Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and New York during three years (1975-1977), at 15 locations for each species. The insects were observed, then hand-picked, aspirated or netted from plants in the field, and any feeding damage was noted. These plants were cut or uprooted, placed in large plastic bags, removed in the laboratory, and examined and beaten against a white oilcloth to loosen clinging insects. The plants were then placed in large clean plastic bags with netting caps for development or emergence of additional insects. The bagged plants were kept in the laboratory for about a month or until they decomposed and insects ceased to emerge. Most surveyed cinquefoil was collected in vacant lots or weedy pastures with a mixed plant population, but some plants were in cultivated fields.

Results and Discussion

Phytophagous insects and pollinators associated with *P. norvegica* and *P. recta* are listed in Table 1. Some additional insects associated with the introduced species *P. intermedia* L. in New York are as follows: Pseudocidae, the lampyrid beetle *Pyropyga minuta* Le Compte, the weevils, *Anthonomus* sp. nr. *consimilis* Say and *Ceutorhynchus* sp., and the leafhopper *Graphocephala* sp. Unidentified tortricid, geometrid, and noctuid (Hermiiniinae) larvae were collected on the native *P. canadensis* L. in Maryland. Cinquefoils benefit from cross pollination to set the abundant seed necessary for their propagation, but some species, including *P. recta*, may reproduce agamosperously (Werner and Soule 1976). In a survey of insects visiting flowers of various weeds, Mulligan and Kevan (1973) found that flowers of *Potentilla* are unattractive. However, Mitchell (1960, 1962) lists 45 species of Apoidea in 21 genera as visiting cinquefoil flowers. Table 1 includes ants, bees and syrphid flies as pollinators. Cinquefoils are hosts of yellows viruses (Surgucheva 1976), that may be transmitted to crops by some of the Ho-

Table 1. Insects associated with cinquefoil. Relative frequency: C, commonly collected at most locations; M, moderate abundance, collected at 3-5 locations; R, rare, only 1 or 2 specimens, or found at less than 3 locations; —, not collected. Plant parts affected: F, flower, L, leaf, S, stem, Rt, root. Remarks: P, pollen feeder; N, nectar feeder; V, probable vector to crops of yellows virus occurring in *Potentilla*; numbers refer to months when insects were collected.

	Relative frequency		Plant part affected	Remarks
	<i>P. norvegica</i>	<i>P. recta</i>		
COLEOPTERA				
Bruchidae				
<i>Bruchus brachialis</i> F.	R	—	F	8, Pest
Cantharidae				
<i>Chauliognathus</i> sp.	—	M	L, S	7
Chrysomelidae				
Alticine larvae	R	—	L	8
Chrysomelid larvae	R	—	L, S	7
<i>Longitarsus</i> sp.	—	R	L, S	7
<i>Sumitrosis ancoroides</i>	—	R	L, S	7
Curculionidae				
<i>Calomycterus setarius</i> Roelofs	—	R	Rt	7, introduced
<i>Gymnetron pascuorum</i> (Gyllenhal)	—	R	L, S	7
<i>Hypera nigrirostris</i> (F.)	—	R	L, S	7
<i>Oedophyrus hilleri</i> (Faust)	C	—	L, S	7, 8, introduced
<i>Otiorhynchus ovatus</i> (L.)	R	—	Rt	11, Pest
<i>Tychius picirostris</i> (F.)	C	—	L, S	7, 9, Pest
Nitidulidae				
<i>Brachyterolus pulicarius</i> L.	—	R	F	6, introduced also on strawberry
<i>Meligethes nigrescens</i> Stephen	R	—	F	7, Pest
Scarabaeidae				
<i>Popillia japonica</i> Newman	C	—	L	7, Pest, introduced
DIPTERA				
Cecidomyiidae				
<i>Mycodiplosis inimica</i> (Fitch)	—	C	L, S, F	8, feeds on rust spores
<i>Mycodiplosis thoracica</i> (Fitch)	—	C	L, S, F	8, feeds on rust spores
Syrphidae				
<i>Sphaerophoria contigua</i> (Macquart)	—	M	F	6, N, also predaceous larvae
<i>Sphaerophoria philanthus</i> (Meigen)	—	R	F	6, N, also predaceous larvae
<i>Toxomerus geminatus</i> (Say)	—	C	F	6, 8, N, also predaceous larvae

Table 1. Continued.

	Relative frequency		Plant part affected	Remarks
	<i>P. norvegica</i>	<i>P. recta</i>		
<i>Toxomerus marginatus</i> (Say)	—	C	F	6, 7, N, also predaceous larvae
HEMIPTERA				
Berytidae				
<i>Jalysus spinosus</i> (Say) nymphs	—	M	L, F	6, Pest
Miridae				
<i>Lygus lineolaris</i> (Palisot de Beauvois)	C	C	F	7, Pest
<i>Psallus</i> sp.	—	R	F	8
Tingidae				
<i>Corythucha marmorata</i> (Uhler)	—	R	L, S	6
HOMOPTERA				
Aleyrodidae				
Aleurodicine sp.	R	—	L	9
Aphididae				
<i>Acyrtosiphon</i> sp.	R	C	L, S	6, 7
Aphid spp. nymphs	R	C	L, S	6
<i>Aphis</i> sp.	—	R	L, S	7, 8
<i>Chaetosiphon fragaefolii</i> (Cockerell)	C	—	L, S	7, 8, Pest
<i>Macrosiphina</i> sp.	—	C	L, S	6, 8
<i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i> (Thomas)	C	C	L, S	6, 7, 11, Pest
<i>Myzus persicae</i> (Sulzer)	M	—	L, S	11, V, Pest
<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i> (Fitch)	C	—	L, S	8, 11, Pest
<i>Schizaphis graminum</i> (Rondani)	R	—	L, S	11, Pest
Cercopidae				
Cercopid nymphs	M	—	L, S	6
<i>Philaenus spumarius</i> (L.)	—	C	L, S	6, V, Pest
Cicadellidae				
<i>Agallia constricta</i> Van Duzee	M	R	L, S	6
<i>Aphrodes bicinctus</i> (Schrank)	—	M	L, S	7
Cicadellid nymphs	M	—	L, S	7, 8
Deltocephaline nymphs	M	—	L, S	8
<i>Graphocephala versuta</i> (Say)	C	—	L, S	7, 8
<i>Gyponana</i> sp. nymphs	M	—	L, S	6
<i>Macrosteles fascifrons</i> (Stål)	R	—	L, S	9, V, Pest
Flatidae				
<i>Anormenis</i> sp. nymphs	—	R	L	7
Membracidae				
Membracid nymphs	—	M	L, S	6
Pseudococcidae				
Pseudococcid nymphs	M	C	Rt	7, 8

Table 1. Continued.

	Relative frequency		Plant part affected	Remarks
	<i>P. norvegica</i>	<i>P. recta</i>		
HYMENOPTERA				
Apidae				
<i>Apis mellifera</i> L.	M	—	F	8, N
Formicidae				
<i>Lasius neoniger</i> Emery	—	C	F	7, N
<i>Leptothorax</i> sp.	—	R	F	6, N
<i>Monomorium minimum</i> (Buckley)	C	C	F	6, N
Halictidae				
<i>Dialictus mitatus</i> (Smith)	M	M	F	8, N, P
<i>Dialictus uncinus</i> (Sandhouse)	—	M	F	7, N
<i>Halictus confusus</i> Smith	R	—	F	8, N
<i>Halictus ligatus</i> Smith	R	R	F	6, 8, N
Tenthredinidae				
Fenusini larvae	R	—	L	7
LEPIDOPTERA				
Blastobasidae				
Blastobasid sp.	—	R	Rt	6
Geometridae				
<i>Eupathecia</i> sp. larvae	R	—	L, S	7, 8
Geometrid larvae	—	R	L, S	7
Lycaenidae				
<i>Lycaena</i> sp. larvae	R	—	L, S	7
Microlepidoptera sp.	R	—	Rt	8
Noctuidae				
<i>Lacinipolia</i> sp. larvae	—	R	Rt	7
Noctuid larvae	M	M	L, S	6
<i>Plathypena scabra</i> (Fabricius) larvae	R	—	L, S	7
Plusiine larvae	R	—	L, S	8
<i>Pyrhia umbria</i> (Hufnagel) larvae	—	M	L, S	8
Pyralidae				
<i>Pyrausta</i> sp. larvae	R	—	L, S	11
Tortricidae				
<i>Platynota</i> sp. larvae	M	R	L, S	6, 8
<i>Sparganothis sulphurana</i> (F.) larvae, adults	M	C	L, S	6, 7
Tortricid larvae	M	—	L, S	11
ORTHOPTERA				
Gryllidae				
<i>Oecanthus</i> sp. nymphs	—	R	L	6
PSOCOPTERA				
Ectopsocidae				
<i>Ectopsocopsis cryptomeriae</i> (Enderlin)	—	R	L	7

Table 1. Continued.

	Relative frequency		Plant part affected	Remarks
	<i>P. norvegica</i>	<i>P. recta</i>		
THYSANOPTERA				
Idolothripinae				
Apterous	—	R	L, F	7
Winged form	—	R	L, F	6
Thripidae				
<i>Frankliniella fusca</i> (Hinds)	C	C	L, F	6, 7, 8, Pest
<i>Frankliniella tritici</i> (Fitch)	C	C	L, F	6, 7, 8, Pest
<i>Sericothrips variabilis</i> (Beach)	R	—	L	11
<i>Taeniothrips atratus</i> (Haliday)	R	—	L, F	8
Thripidae sp.	R	—	L, F	7
<i>Thrips tabaci</i> Lindeman	C	—	L, F	6, Pest

moptera listed in Table 1. They were also hosts of 15 crop pests, including two species that attack strawberries. These weeds were not severely damaged by the insects listed here. Cinquefoils were frequently attacked and damaged by rust fungi, which were eaten by cecidomyiid larvae.

Due to the close genetic and physiological similarity between cinquefoils and strawberries, it may be difficult to locate specific biological control agents that will not also attack *Fragaria*. The initiation of a biological control program for these weeds is therefore not highly recommended.

Acknowledgments

I thank the following specialists for identifying many of the insects associated with cinquefoils: D. M. Anderson, W. A. Connell, R. J. Gagné, J. L. Herring, J. P. Kramer, D. R. Miller, E. L. Mockford, K. O'Neill, D. R. Smith, M. B. Stoetzel, F. C. Thompson, D. M. Weisman, R. E. White and D. R. Whitehead, Systematic Entomology Laboratory, and cooperating scientists, AR/SEA, USDA, and R. C. Froeschner, U.S. National Museum, Washington, D.C.

Literature Cited

- Anonymous. 1976. Strawberry Weed Survey. Review of Development Work in the Southwest Region. London, 149 pp.
- Barrientos-Perez, F. 1976. Development and exploitation of interspecific *Fragaria-Potentilla* amphiploids in strawberry breeding. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Calif., Davis, 126 pp.
- Chevtvaeva, V. A. 1975. Nutritive value of some species of *Potentilla*. Dokl. Akad. Tadjh. SSR 18:60-62.
- Danielson, L. L., W. B. Ennis, Jr., D. L. Klingman, W. C. Shaw, F. L. Timmons, J. E.

- Jernigan, J. R. Paulling and P. E. Strickler. 1965. A survey of extent and cost of weed control and specific weed problems. USDA, ARS 34-23-1:78 pp.
- Darlington, C. D. and A. P. Wylie. 1956. Chromosome Atlas of Flowering Plants. George Allen & Unwin, London. 519 pp.
- Frankton, C. and G. A. Mulligan. 1970. Weeds of Canada. Canada Dept. Agr. Publ. 948. 217 pp.
- Hultén, E. 1974. The Circumpolar Plants. II. Dicotyledons. K. Sven. Vetenskapsakad. Handl. 13(1):463 pp.
- Kohli, B. L. and K. E. Denford. 1977. A study of the flavonoids of the *Potentilla pensylvanica* complex in North America. Can. J. Bot. 55:476-479.
- Mararenko, N. G. and V. M. Chaika. 1974. Antibacterial activity of some members of the genus *Potentilla* of southeastern Altai in connection with their taxonomy and ecology. Rastit. Resur. 10:180-187.
- Mitchell, T. B. 1962, 1966. Bees of the Eastern United States. Vol. I, II. N. Carolina Agric. Exp. Stn. Tech. Bull. 141, 152:538 pp.; 557 pp.
- Mulligan, G. A. and P. G. Kevan. 1973. Color, brightness and other floral characteristics attracting insects to the blossoms of some Canadian weeds. Can. J. Bot. 51:1939-1952.
- Scott, D. H. and F. J. Lawrence. 1976. Strawberries. Pp. 71-97 in J. Janick and J. N. Morey (ed.). Advances in Fruit Breeding. Purdue Univ. Press.
- Surgucheva, N. A. 1976. Etiology of yellow diseases in plants of wild flora. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 226:225-226.
- Werner, P. A. and J. D. Soule. 1976. The biology of Canadian weeds. 18. *Potentilla recta* L., *P. norvegica* L. and *P. argentea* L. Can. J. Plant Sci. 56:591-603.

Beneficial Insect Introduction Laboratory, Insect Identification and Beneficial Insects Introduction Institute, Agricultural Research, SEA, USDA, Beltsville, MD 20705.

Received for publication April 26, 1979.